

**HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH : JABALPUR
FULL BENCH - I (Time 10:30 AM)**

Daily Cause List dated : 03-01-2019

**BEFORE: HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE RAVI SHANKAR JHA & HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE VIJAY
KUMAR SHUKLA**

Court Room No.: 1

MOTION HEARING

[FINAL DISPOSAL AT MOTION HEARING STAGE - CIVIL CASES]

SN	Case No	Petitioner / Respondent	Petitioner/Respondent Advocate
1	WP 16549/2016	LAL SAHAB BAIRAGI Versus THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH	MUKESH KUMAR PANDEY, PRASHANT SHRIVAS ADVOCATE GENERAL, SWAPNIL GANGULY[R-4], DEEPAK BHATIA[R-4], SHIV MOHAN LAL SAXENA[R-1][AG][R-2][AG][R-3][AG]
<p style="font-size: small; color: red;">CORRUPTION-12000 - Prevention of Corruption Act 1988-12002 - Prevention of Corruption Act 1988-12002 Relief - FOR SETTING ASIDE THE ORDER IMPUGNED P-1</p> <p style="font-size: small; color: blue;">{Fixed Date/SPC} [FOR ORDERS ON THE QUESTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION / OPINION ; 1. WHETHER IN VIEW OF THE SPECIFIC EXCLUSION OF THE PROCEDURE FOR PROVIDING HEARING INCORPORATED IN RULE 8 (3) OF THE PENSION RULES OF 1976, IN RESPECT OF THE CASES FALLING UNDER RULE 8 (2), THE SAME CAN BE INSISTED UPON IN THE LIGHT OF THE FULL BENCH DECISION IN THE CASE OF RAM SEWAK MISHRA (SUPRA) ? 2. WHETHER THE FULL BENCH JUDGMENT IN THE CASE OF RAM SEWAK MISHRA (SUPRA) DESERVES TO BE RE-EXAMINED AND RECONSIDERED IN VIEW OF THE WORDS "IN A CASE NOT FALLING UNDER SUB-RULE 2" CLEARLY AND SPECIFICALLY INCORPORATED IN RULE 8 (3) OF THE PENSION RULES OF 1976 ? 3. WHETHER THE AUTHORITY IS REQUIRED TO ISSUE A SHOW W.P. NO.16549/2016 CAUSE NOTICE PRIOR TO PASSING OF AN ORDER IN TERMS OF RULES 8 AND 9 OF THE PENSION RULES OF 1976, IN CASES OF WITHDRAWAL OF PENSION OR PART THEREOF ON ACCOUNT OF CONVICTION IN A CRIMINAL CASE ?</p>			

TOTAL CASES : 1 (with connected matters)

PR (J) / R (J-I) / R(J-II)